# **Comparison of Grounding Resistance Using Grounding Rod Electrodes** with Different Fault Current Types in Podzolic Soil at *Prabumulih* Substation

| Dian Eka Putra <sup>™</sup> , Gamaliel PV Pardede, Falupi Kurniawan, M. Willianto Saputra, Rahmawan |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sinaga , Andu Rahmanda, Bambang Rudini, Reza Eka Putra, & M. Waladun Salis                          |
| Electrical Engineering, Universitas Palembang, Jl. Dharmapala 1A, Palembang, 30139, Indonesia       |
| <sup>™</sup> Corresponding Author: dianekaputra90@gmail.com                                         |

| Received: January 12, 2023 | Revision: February 25, 2023 | Accepted: March 22, 2023 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                            |                             | ,,,,,,,,                 |

#### Abstract

For protection against electric shock in electrical equipment, particularly in control or instrument installations in substation equipment, a quality earthing system is required. The earthing system is also affected by soil resistivity with different soil types. In the equipment grounding system, knowledge of the types of electrodes and the type of soil is required. At the Prabumulih substation, Podzolic soil is required to evaluate the stress distribution that happens in the soil in order to reduce Ground Potential Rise (GPR). In addition to obtaining the economic value of the rod electrode type employed. In this study the resistivity value of the podzolic soil type is very decisive so that the resistivity value of the podzolic soil type at the pre-selection substation land is  $150 \,\Omega m$ .

Keywords: Ground Resistance, Bar Electrodes, Ground Potensial Rise (GPR)

## Introduction

In the process of generating, distributing, and consuming electrical energy, it is inseparable from the grounding system, which protects humans and electrical equipment from electric shock (Ghomi et al. 2021)(Putra, Nawawi, and Jambak 2022a). The horizontal grounding construction system is planted in the ground and coupled to electrical equipment that utilizes electrical energy in order to quickly drain fault current into the ground (Adnan et al. 2020). Additionally, the kind of soil's resistivity dictates the low grounding resistance necessary to protect living beings and electrical equipment (Androvitsaneas et al. 2020), the type of grounding construction material is very decisive, including the use of the type of rod electrode material used for the grounding system (Hasibuan et al. 2021) (Ali et al. 2020). The type of grounding construction material utilized for the grounding system, is of critical importance (Faudzi et al. 2020), especially on the control equipment at the substation. In the existing electricity regulations in Indonesia, the grounding resistance value is highly demanded to reach a low value below 5 ohms, this is stated in PUIL 2000 and PUIL 2011 (Putra et al. 2022). Multiple soil types make it extremely challenging to get a low resistance value (Elgayar et al. 2019). The area near the Prabumuli substation has a podzolic soil type with features of yellow clay and gravel, thus it would be quite interesting to perform research on the grounding system utilizing different types of rod electrodes utilized will influence crucial factors for calculating Ground Potential Rise (GPR) caused by fault currents (Adnan et al. 2020)(Salam et al. 2017).

| <b>Table 1.</b> Soil type resistance based on PUIL 2000 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------------------------|

| Soil Type         | Soil Type Resistance (Ω-m) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Marshland         | 30                         |
| Clay and Farmland | 100                        |
| Wet Sand          | 200                        |
| Wet Gravel        | 500                        |

When seen from table 1, the problems with podzolic types are very interesting, they can be a combination of wet clay and wet sand, meaning that they may have a soil resistivity value that exceeds the resistivity value of the soil type listed in PUIL 2000 and PUIL 2011, namely between 100  $\Omega$ m to 200  $\Omega$ m, while in the IEEE standard 80-2000 wet soil of 100  $\Omega$ m, in addition to the lack of research on the resistivity of podzolic soil types and it has not been listed in PUIL 2000 and PUIL 2011, the resistivity value of soil types is very important to study, because soil is a medium for the distribution of electric current when it occurs fault currents, both fault currents caused by lightning (Łukaszewski and Nogal 2021), or caused by insulation failure from the switching process, this fault current flows through metal equipment in the electrical installation and is channeled into the ground, with the smaller the resistivity value of the soil type, the safety of living things, especially humans, will be guaranteed from the dangers of touch voltage and step voltage, for electrical installation equipment reliability will be guaranteed (Azmi et al. 2019). The grounding system is used in power generation equipment systems by burying rod electrodes into the ground which are equipped with active conductors connected to electrical equipment made of metal, with an ideal grounding resistance value of below 5 ohms (PUIL 2000). The risk of the danger of direct contact with touch voltage and step voltage is getting bigger in the area around the generator and distribution of electricity, one of which is at the substation, besides that the equipment is powered by 150 kV, the equipment is made of metal and has a large capacity size and has the size of the equipment. high, it will be vulnerable to the risk of lightning strikes (de Araújo et al. 2021).

# Materials & Methods

In a comparative study using a type of rod electrode planted vertically into the ground with a podzolic soil type in the Prebumulih substation area, appropriate materials and research methods were needed to obtain the expected results, while the materials needed are shown in table 1.

| Information               | Electrode type and size                      |  |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Electrode Shape           | Round rod                                    |  |  |
| •                         | - Galvanized plated iron (Zinc Coated Steel) |  |  |
| Electrode Material        | - Steel (behel)                              |  |  |
|                           | - Copper plated iron                         |  |  |
| Electrode Length          | 200 cm ( 2 meter)                            |  |  |
|                           | - 16,56 mm                                   |  |  |
| Electrode Diameter        | - 15,37 mm                                   |  |  |
| Electrode Diameter        | - 15,14 mm                                   |  |  |
|                           | - 0,00828 meter                              |  |  |
| Rod electrode radius      | - 0,00768 meter                              |  |  |
|                           | - 0,00757 meter                              |  |  |
| Type of soil              | Swamp Soil Resistivity: $30 \Omega$          |  |  |
|                           | - 1 meter                                    |  |  |
| Electrode embedding denth | - 1,5 meter                                  |  |  |
| Electrode embedding depth | - 1,75 meter                                 |  |  |
|                           | - 2 meter                                    |  |  |
| Resistance Earth Tester   | Kyoritsu Digital 1450 A                      |  |  |
| Soil Resistivity Tester   | ETCR 2000C                                   |  |  |

| Table 1. Grounding Resistance Research Materials with Podzolic | Soil Types |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|

In this study, the grounding resistance measurement equipment, Kyoritsu 1450 A, has been calibrated by the appropriate institution in order to acquire the most accurate findings (Lai and Thuzar n.d.)(Faudzi et al. 2020). Using the three-point or three-pin method, measure the resistance of the ground on the driven rod electrode after conducting field observations and determining the kind of material and research aid equipment (Myint, Hla, and Tun 2020), this method was chosen because it is easier to do and very familiar to researchers in the field of grounding systems. First, the phases of the measurements are performed with one kind of ground rod electrode, and then this grounding resistance measurement is performed with another type of ground rod electrode to measuring ground rod electrodes of a different type which is carried out with different depths, as shown by the depth of the electrode in table 1.

After the grounding resistance data is obtained using the type of round iron (Hardi et al. 2019), galvanized iron and coppercoated grounding rod electrodes then by analyzing the resistivity of the soil type using the cymgrd application and simulated with fault currents to display the possibility of touch voltages and step voltages caused by currents or potential voltage on the ground, better known as Ground Potential Rise (GPR) (Putra, Nawawi, and Jambak 2022b).

To get the results of the comparison between the measurement and calculation results of the grounding resistance, use the driven rod grounding resistance formula (IEEE Std 81 2012) with the U. Dwight method

$$R = \frac{\rho}{2\Pi L} \ln\left(\frac{4L}{a} - 1\right) \tag{1}$$

As for the calculation of soil type resistivity by converting from the U.Dwight method (Hu et al. 2021(IEEE Std 80 2000), which is presented in formula 2.

$$\rho = \frac{2\pi L.R}{\left[\left[in\frac{4L}{a}\right]-1\right]} \tag{2}$$



Figure 1. Location and Process of Testing and Measuring Grounding Resistance at Prabumulih Substation

## **Results and Discussion**

From the results of field research, test data were acquired by measuring the grounding resistance of vertically-planted rod electrodes of various kinds and depths. The grounding resistance measurements given in the table below were collected on May 21, 2022.

| <b>Table 2</b> . The average result | of measuring the ground | d resistance in th | ne Prabumulih substation area |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                     |                         |                    |                               |

| Kound Iron Galvanized Coated Iron Copper Plated Iron | 1 |
|------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1 81,6 76,9 90                                       |   |
| 1,25 74,9 69,8 89,5                                  |   |
| 1,5 73,2 69,4 80,8                                   |   |
| 1,75 73,1 64 80,6                                    |   |
| 2 70 60,9 80,4                                       |   |



Figure 2. Measurement results of grounding resistance of rod electrodes on podzolic soil types

According to the results of testing and measuring grounding resistance using the three-point approach, galvanized coated iron rod electrodes performed better on podzolic soil types than iron type grounding rod electrodes and copper plated grounding rod electrodes. The copper-plated iron rod electrode demonstrates the smallest value of all tests conducted at different depths; the grounding resistance value declines with the depth of the rod electrode; the deeper the rod electrode, the lower the grounding resistance value determined by the three-point method.

Using formula 1, the calculation of the driven rod grounding resistance with podzolic soil types assumes that the soil type is a mixture of wet clay and sand (table 1). In this study, the resistivity value for podzolic soil types was  $150 \Omega m$ . can be compared with the measurement results with the 3-point method.

# Journal of Renewable Energy, Electrical, and Computer Engineering, 3 (1) (2023), 19-25

| Table 3. Results of Measurement and Calculation of Grounding Resistance |                           |                                         |  |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|--|
| Rod Electrode Depth (meters)                                            | Calculation Electrode Rod | Average Earthing Resistance Measurement |  |  |
|                                                                         | $(\Omega)$                | $(\Omega)$                              |  |  |
| 1                                                                       | 109,32                    | 82,83                                   |  |  |
| 1,5                                                                     | 91,72                     | 78,07                                   |  |  |
| 1,75                                                                    | 79,33                     | 74,47                                   |  |  |
| 2                                                                       | 70,10                     | 72,57                                   |  |  |



Figure 3. Comparison of Measurement and Calculation on podzolic soil types

In Figure 2, a comparison graph between the calculated results and the average measurement results reveals that at the same depth of the rod electrode, the grounding resistance value of the rod electrode in the podzolic soil type is relatively close to the same results, particularly at a depth of two meters for the rod electrode. The researchers assume that podzolic soils have an average resistivity of 150  $\Omega$ m.

The data from field measurements will be analyzed for soil type resistivity using the cymgrd application, here in this study to see the magnitude of the GPR with potential touch voltage and potential step voltage, the variables in table 2 are needed, as follows:

| Table 4. Variable input simulation cymgrd                                  |                                      |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Earth resistance Measurement, potential step and touch (Body Weight 50 kg) |                                      |  |  |  |
| 5                                                                          | Swampland                            |  |  |  |
| Bus ID                                                                     | 150 kV                               |  |  |  |
| Nominal frequency                                                          | 50 herzt                             |  |  |  |
| LG Fault Current                                                           | 100 A                                |  |  |  |
| Remote contribution                                                        | 100%                                 |  |  |  |
| Upper Layer Thickness 0.5 m                                                |                                      |  |  |  |
| Electrode :                                                                |                                      |  |  |  |
| Rod                                                                        | - Steel Rod                          |  |  |  |
|                                                                            | - Zinc Coated Steel                  |  |  |  |
|                                                                            | - Copper plated steel                |  |  |  |
| Conductor                                                                  | Copper commercial hard-drawn - 16 mm |  |  |  |
| Lengt Rod                                                                  | 2 meter                              |  |  |  |
| Length Conductor Total                                                     | 16 meter                             |  |  |  |
| Primary Rod Length Total 8 meter                                           |                                      |  |  |  |

From the simulation results by inputting the resistivity value of the soil type according to the resistance measurement at the grounding rod electrode, the soil resistivity value is shown in table 5 below:

| Table 5. Resistivity Value of Podzolic Soil Type at the <i>Prabumulih</i> Substation |                                      |                   |                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| De d De eth                                                                          | Podzolic Soil Resistivity Value (Ωm) |                   |                 |
| Kod Depth                                                                            | Steel                                | Zinc Coated Steel | CU Coated Steel |
| 1                                                                                    | 114,21                               | 105,87            | 123,49          |
| 1,25                                                                                 | 124,83                               | 114,52            | 146,37          |

Journal of Renewable Energy, Electrical, and Computer Engineering, 3 (1) (2023), 19-25

| 1,5  | 140,94 | 131,62 | 152,77 |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1,75 | 159,19 | 137,34 | 172,46 |
| 2    | 169,72 | 145,56 | 191,62 |

After inputting the parameters listed in table 4 into the cymgrd application, the value of the potential for the occurrence of Ground Potential Rise (GPR) is obtained for each use of the types of grounding rod electrodes that are planted vertically in the ground. Additionally, the value of the potential for the occurrence of touch voltage and step voltage varies as shown in the table below.

| Table 6. Touch Voltage, Step Voltage and Ground Rise Potential |                |                   |                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Description                                                    | Voltage (volt) |                   |                 |
|                                                                | Steel          | Zinc Coated Steel | CU Coated Steel |
| Max Permissible Touch                                          | 209,39         | 209,01            | 209,81          |
| Max Permissible Step                                           | 345,4          | 343,89            | 347,88          |
| Ground Potensial Rise (GPR)                                    | 1520,4         | 1324,31           | 1686,51         |



Figure 3. Potensial Touch, Step and Ground Potesial Rise (GPR)

The Ground Potential Rise in each grounding rod electrode test is replicated using a grid structure that may be observed by the contour or color of the voltage distribution on the ground surface, as shown in the image below.



(a). Iron Type Rod Electrodes



(b). Galvanized Coated Iron Type Rod Electrodes

Journal of Renewable Energy, Electrical, and Computer Engineering, 3 (1) (2023), 19-25



(c). Copper Coated Iron Type Rod Electrodes

Figure 4. Spread of GPR on the surface of podzolic soils using different types of ground rod electrodes.

This event indicates that there is still a large potential for voltage spreading on the ground surface, so it still has a dangerous impact on the operator or living things in the vicinity if there is a fault current caused by lightning or a failure in the switching process of electric power installations.

## Conclusions

Based on the results of a series of field tests conducted by measuring ground rod electrodes in different types of soil at low soil depths on podzolic soil types with yellowish clay soil characteristics and red pebbles having a relatively high soil resistivity, podzolic soil resistivity values are a combination of wet clay and sand. Hence, it assumes 150  $\Omega$ m. This is consistent with the significant grounding resistance seen when utilizing rod electrodes. The best grounding resistance is shown when using a galvanized iron type grounding rod electrode, particularly at a depth of 2 meters in the ground, where the resistance value using a galvanized type rod electrode is 10% to 20% lower than when using an iron type rod electrode or a copper-coated iron type, indicating that the grounding resistance is not as good when using an iron type rod electrode or a copper-coated iron type. However, it is impacted not only by the resistivity of the soil type, but also by the type of ground rod electrode material that is vertically planted in the soil. In the simulation utilizing the cymgrd application, the greatest Ground Potential Rise (GPR) value is 1686.51 volts for a copper-coated grounding rod electrode with a potential touch voltage of 209.81 volts and a step voltage of 347.08 volts with a fault current of 100 amperes. In order to obtain a low resistance value and decrease the GPR potential value, it is necessary to embed the ground rod electrode deeper than the results of the above experiments.

## Acknowledgments

The researchers express gratitude to PT. PLN (Persero) ULTG Prabugmulih - Prabumulih Substation and the 2022 electrical engineering research team, Faculty of Engineering, University of Palembang, who provided material and intellectual support for all series of grounding resistance research, both from field tests using rod electrode types and simulations using the cymgrd application.

#### References

- Adnan, Muhammad et al. 2020. "Effects of Lightning Impulse Front Time on Substation Grounding System Performance." Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science 20(2): 569–74.
- Ali, Abdul Wali Abdul et al. 2020. "Investigations on the Performance of Grounding Device with Spike Rods (GDSR) with the Effects of Soil Resistivity and Configurations." *Energies* 13(14).
- Androvitsaneas, Vasilios P., Katerina D. Damianaki, Christos A. Christodoulou, and Ioannis F. Gonos. 2020. "Effect of Soil Resistivity Measurement on the Safe Design of Grounding Systems." *Energies* 13(12).
- de Araújo, Anderson R.J. et al. 2021. "Computation of Ground Potential Rise and Grounding Impedance of Simple Arrangement of Electrodes Buried in Frequency-Dependent Stratified Soil." *Electric Power Systems Research* 198(May).
- Azmi, Aizat, Noor Azlinda Ahmad, Lau Kwan Yiew, and Z. Abdul-Malek. 2019. "The Use of Enhancement Material in Grounding System: A Review." Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science 13(2): 453–60.
- Elgayar, Ali et al. 2019. "Power Transmission Lines Electromagnetic Pollution with Consideration of Soil Resistivity." Telkomnika (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control) 17(4): 1985–91.
- Faudzi, A. H.M. et al. 2020. "A Study on Copper and Galvanized Grounding Performance Using Palm Oil Fuel Ash as New Additive Material." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1432(1).
- Ghomi, M. et al. 2021. "Integrated Model of Transmission Tower Surge Impedance and Multilayer Grounding System Based on Full-Wave Approach." *Electric Power Systems Research* 198(May): 107355.

- Hardi, Surya, Y Tarigan, Hendra Zulkarnaen, and Amawan Hasibuan. 2019. "Influence of Artificial Pollutants on Disc Insulators under Dry and Wet Conditions on Leakage Current and Flashover Voltage." In 2019 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Telecommunication and Computer Engineering (ELTICOM), , 174–78.
- Hasibuan, Arnawan et al. 2021. "Analisa Sistem Proteksi Internal Dan Eksternal Perangkat SCADA Di Gedung MCS Medan Terhadap Arus Dan Tegangan Lebih Petir." *VOCATECH: Vocational Education and Technology Journal* 3(1): 1– 10.
- Hu, Haize et al. 2021. "A New Design of Substation Grounding Based on Electrolytic Cathodic Protection and on Transfer Corrosion Current." *Electric Power Systems Research* 195(November 2019).
- IEEE Std 80. 2000. 56 The institute of electrical and electonics engineers *Standard* 80-2000 *Guide for Safety in AC Substation Gorunding*.
- IEEE Std 81. 2012. 2012 IEEE Std 81-2012 (Revision of IEEE Std 81-1983) Redline IEEE Guide for Measuring Earth Resistivity, Ground Impedance, and Earth Surface Potentials of a Grounding System.
- Lai, Lai, and Khin Thuzar. "Design Consideration of Electrical Earthing System for High-Rise Building.": 270-82.
- Łukaszewski, Artur, and Łukasz Nogal. 2021. "Influence of Lightning Current Surge Shape and Peak Value on Grounding Parameters." Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences 69(2): 1–8.
- Myint, Su Mon, Khin Thidar Hla, and Theint Theint Tun. 2020. "Effective Earthing System of Electrical Power Engineering Department Using Optimal Electrodes." International Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Exploration 7(63): 28–35.
- PUIL, 2000. "Persyaratan Umum Instalasi Listrik 2000 (PUIL 2000)." DirJen Ketenagalistrikan 2000(Puil): 1-133.
- Putra, Dian Eka et al. 2022. "Earthing Resistance and Poldzolic Soil Resistivity at PT. Perta Samtan Gas Field Extraction Plant Prabumulih." *International Journal of Research In Vocational Studies (IJRVOCAS)* 2(3): 66–70.
- Putra, Dian Eka, Zainuddin Nawawi, and M Irfan Jambak. 2022a. "Using Copper-Coated Round Rod Electrodes at Various Depths in Freshwater Marshes." 2(1): 15–26.
- Putra, Dian Eka, Zainuddin Nawawi, and Muhammad Irfan Jambak. 2022b. "Earth Resistance and Earth Construction To Interference Currents On Swamp Land." International Conference on Sciences Development and Technology 2(1): 1–8.
- Salam, Md Abdus, Quazi Mehbubar Rahman, Swee Peng Ang, and Fushuan Wen. 2017. "Soil Resistivity and Ground Resistance for Dry and Wet Soil." *Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy* 5(2): 290–97.