# High Precision Current Stabilizers with Induction Levitation Effect

## Kerimzade G.S.<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Candidate of technical Sciences, Associate professor of the Department of Electromechanics of the Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Azadliq prospekt 34, Baku, Az1010, Azerbaijan, <u>gulschen98@mail.ru</u> <sup>⊠</sup>Corresponding Author – Kerimzade G.S.: <u>gulschen98@mail.ru</u> | **Phone: +994505870064** 

Received: January 14, 2023	Revision: February 25, 2023	Accepted: March 20, 2023	

## Abstract

The present article discusses the characteristic features of the characteristics of precision controlled high-precision current stabilizers using the effect of induction levitation. The stability and shape of the load current determines the reliability, accuracy, efficiency, service life of automation devices, test equipment and electroplating baths. Determining the output characteristics, establishing analytical relationships between the initial data and the output parameters of the stabilizer is one of the stages of the algorithm for solving the problems of designing the parameters of an AC stabilizer with induction levitation of the moving part. This, in turn, contributes to the development of a mathematical model consisting of a system of equations of electric, magnetic, mechanical and thermal stabilizer circuits, the joint solution of which allows you to establish analytical relationships between the initial data and parameters such as working stroke, weight, winding and core sections, copper losses.

**Key words:** precision, high-precision, current stabilizer, effect, induction levitation, controlled, source, dependence, levitation winding, characteristic, load

# Introduction

Reliability, accuracy, efficiency and service life of automation devices, information-measuring equipment, test equipment and galvanic baths are largely determined by the stability and shape of the load current. In most cases, the sources of power for various devices and installations are power networks, and the constancy of voltage in such networks is usually not observed, and there are always short-term and slow voltage fluctuations. Such voltage fluctuations in many cases are unacceptable, as they lead to disruption of the normal operation of the equipment. For example, for complex measuring and verification equipment, for testing medical equipment and galvanic baths, the necessary condition for ensuring a given accuracy is the stability of the load current (G.S, 2022c). The task of stabilizing the current and voltage at the load is characterized by parametric and controlled stabilizers, the principle of operation of which is based on the use of various physical phenomena (G.S, 2022a). For example, the operation of most of these stabilizers is based on the use of a non-linear volt - ampere characteristic of various elements used in stabilizer circuits. The movable anchor, being subjected to the action of the weight force  $P_B$  and the force of attraction  $F_e$ , automatically finds the equilibrium position  $F_e=P_B$  (the point of intersection of the traction characteristic  $F_e$  (x) and the horizontal direct weight force  $P_B$ ) (Kerimzade G.S., 2020).

# Formulation of the Problem

When the armature is moved, the inductance of the winding and its resistance change. In this case, the steady state value of the current is defined as:

$$I = \sqrt{\frac{2P_{g}}{\frac{dL}{dx}}}$$
(1)

The derivative inductance L with respect to the displacement of the core x is a constant value only for a narrow range of displacements  $x = x_2 - x_1$ . Therefore, the current stabilizes in a small range of supply voltage changes (6-8)%. How these stabilizers work based on the principle of magnetic suspension of ferromagnetic cores (Hasibuan & others, 2011b) (Syafrudin & Hasibuan, n.d.). A controlled electrodynamic stabilizer allows you to smoothly adjust the value of the stabilized current (G.S, 2022b). The stabilizer has an elongated magnetic circuit, on which a fixed and a moving AC coil are located. The moving coil is mounted on a mobile device (trolley) and can move freely along the magnetic circuit (G.S., 2022). Another type of controlled stabilizers are stabilizers with induction levitation windings, which are much simpler than existing ones in design, provide high stabilization accuracy when the supply voltage changes over a wide range, allow you to simultaneously obtain several nominal values of the stabilized current, the shape of the stabilized current curves is close to a sinusoid if the supply voltage sinusoidally (fig.1). The main disadvantages of these stabilizers are the possibility of their operation in the vertical position of the magnetic circuit and in the absence of vibration and shaking (Y. R. Abdullayev et al., 2015) (Y. Abdullayev et al., 2009).



Figure 1. Volt-ampere characteristic of an electrodynamic stabilizer

#### Solution Methods

AC stabilizers with inductive levitation of the moving part are divided into two groups: stabilizers with levitation shortcircuit winding and stabilizers with levitation excitation winding (Xue et al., 2021) (Gieras, 2008). To obtain several nominal values of the stabilized current, the windings are made from a number of sections. If it is necessary to obtain direct current at the loads, the alternating current is rectified by the bridge (Spooner & Williamson, 1996) (Simo Fotso et al., 2019). According to their design features, they are divided into stabilizers with a straight and stepped magnetic circuit (Simo Fotso et al., 2019) (Esbensen et al., 2002). To reduce the height of the excitation winding and improve its cooling, the winding can be divided into two equal parts located on the extreme rods (Esbensen et al., 2002) (Gieras et al., 2011) (Boldea, 2015). The separated windings are connected to each other in series - counter. The inductive resistance of the winding in this case is two times less than when it is entirely located on the middle rod:

$$x_1 = \omega^2 \left[ 0.5\omega W_1^2 \lambda \left( \frac{0.5h_1}{3} + x_M \right) \right]$$
(2)

Winding current:

$$I_{1} = \frac{U_{1}}{x_{1}} = \frac{U_{1}}{\omega W_{1}^{2} \lambda \left(\frac{h_{1}}{6} + x_{M}\right)}$$
(3)

If the winding is located on the middle rod:

$$x_{1} = \omega W_{1}^{2} \lambda \left( \frac{h_{1}}{3} + x_{M} \right)$$

$$I_{1} = \frac{U_{1}}{I_{1}} = \frac{U_{1}}{\omega W_{1}^{2} \lambda \left( h_{1} + x_{M} \right)}$$
(4)

One of the stages of the algorithm for solving the problems of designing the parameters of an AC stabilizer with inductive levitation of the moving part is the determination of output characteristics, the establishment of analytical relationships between the initial data and the output parameters of the stabilizer. Design, which includes the fluctuation and magnitude of the mains voltage  $\Delta U_c = U_{cmax}$ - $U_{cmin}$ ,  $U_{nom}$  and load current  $I_{load}$ . The stabilizer must be designed for the rated load current  $I_{load}$  and for the rated voltage  $U_{n_r}$  at which the levitation coordinate corresponds to the initial position of the levitation winding. Design criteria: allowable overheating of the windings  $\tau_{d1}$  and  $\tau_{d2}$ , allowable voltage increment at the terminals of the supply winding  $\Delta U_1$  and allowable increment of the stabilized current at the load  $\Delta I_n$  [1-5]. The basis of design is the establishment of analytical relationships between the initial data and geometric dimensions. This requires the development of a mathematical model consisting of a system of equations of electric, magnetic, mechanical and thermal stabilizer circuits, the joint solution of which allows you to establish analytical relationships between the initial data and the working stroke  $x_M$ , the weight force  $P_{B}$ , the sections of the windings  $S_0$  and the core  $S_c$ , copper losses  $P_M$ . The initial values for calculating an AC stabilizer with inductive levitation of the moving part are the range of mains voltage change  $\Delta U_c$  load currents  $I_{load1}$ ,  $I_{load2}$ , ... $I_{loadn}$ , mains frequency  $\omega$ , load resistance  $R_{load}$  or load power  $P_{load}$ , as well as the stroke of the moving part  $x_M$  or minimum levitation coordinate value  $h_{min}$ .

$$h = \frac{k_u U_1}{\omega W_1 \sqrt{2P_g \lambda}} - \frac{h_1}{3n_\lambda}$$
(5)

$$I_{1} = \frac{k_{u}U_{1}}{\omega W_{1}^{2}\lambda \left(h + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{3n_{\lambda}}\right)}; I_{2} = b_{2}I_{1}\frac{W_{1}}{W_{2}}$$

$$F_{1} = I_{1}W_{1}; F_{2} = I_{2}W_{21}; F_{e} = \frac{1}{2}(IW)^{2}\lambda = P_{e}$$

$$B_{M} = \frac{k_{u}U_{1}\sqrt{2}}{\omega W_{1}k_{3c}s_{c}}; \tau_{2} = \frac{I_{2}^{2}r_{2}}{k_{T}s_{cool}^{2}}$$
(6)

The ratio of the voltage at the terminals of the excitation winding  $U_1$  to the inductive reactance of this winding  $x_1$  is a constant parameter equal to the stabilized current  $I_1$ :

$$\frac{kU_1}{x_1} = \frac{k_u U_1}{\omega W_1^2 \lambda \left(h + \frac{\lambda_1}{3n_\lambda}\right)} = I_1 = const$$
(7)

The levitation coordinate h is a linear function of the voltage U<sub>1</sub>:

$$h = \frac{k_u U_1}{\omega W_1^2 \lambda I_1} - \frac{h_1}{3n_\lambda}$$
(8)

The maximum and minimum values of the levitation coordinate will be determined by the voltages  $U_{1max}$  and  $U_{1min}$ , respectively. Maximum stroke LW:

$$x_{M} = h_{\max} - h_{\min} = \frac{k_{u} \Delta U_{1}}{\omega W_{1}^{2} \lambda I_{1}} = \frac{k_{u} \Delta U_{1}}{\omega W_{1} \lambda F_{1}} = \frac{k_{u} \Delta U_{1}}{\omega W_{1} \sqrt{2P_{e}} \lambda}$$
(9)

The specific magnetic conductivity of the working air gap can be determined by the formula:

$$\lambda = 2\mu_0 \left[ \frac{b}{c} + 2.92 \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\pi a}{2b} \right) \right]$$
(10)

To ensure the uniformity of the magnetic field of the working air gap, the following ratios are recommended:

$$m_c = \frac{b}{c} = 2 \div 6, m_a = \frac{b}{c} = 2 \div 6 \tag{11}$$

Table 1 shows the calculated values of the specific magnetic conductivity  $\lambda$  and the buckling coefficient  $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ .

в/с	в/а	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0
2.0	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	1.85	1.72	1.61	1.48	1.38	1.34
	λ	6.73	6.98	7.44	8.00	8.64	9.30
2.5	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	1.68	1.57	1.49	1.39	1.32	1.27
	λ	7.97	8.30	8.73	9.36	9.86	10.55
3.0	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	1.56	1.47	1.40	1.32	1.26	1.22
	λ	9.30	9.60	10.00	10.64	11.20	11.86
4.0	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	1.42	1.36	1.31	1.24	1.20	1.17
	λ	11.75	12.06	12.4	13.10	13.60	14.20
5.0	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	1.34	1.29	1.25	1.19	1.16	1.13
	λ	14.24	14.60	15.00	15.7	16.2	16.83
6.0	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	1.28	1.24	1.2	1.16	1.13	1.11
	λ	16.8	17.00	17.60	18.16	18.76	19.40

**Table 1.** Calculated values  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma_{\rm B}$ 

According to the dependence  $\Delta U_1 / x_M = f(I_1)$  for different values of the weight force (fig.2), with an increase in the nominal values of the current I<sub>1</sub>, the ratio decreases, if the weight force of the levitation winding is constant. This is the case for multi-rated AC stabilizers, where with the switching of sections of the fixed winding, the current I<sub>1</sub> changes, and the weight force remains constant. The coefficient k<sub>n</sub> takes into account the voltage drop U<sub>R</sub> on the load R<sub>load</sub>. Figure 3 shows the dependence K<sub>load</sub>=f(P<sub>load</sub>) for different values of load resistance R<sub>load</sub> (Hasibuan & others, 2011a).



**Figure 2.** Dependence  $\frac{\Delta U_1}{X_M} = f(I_1)$  for different values of the weight force P<sub>B</sub>.



Figure 3. Dependence K<sub>load</sub>=f(P<sub>load</sub>) for different values of R<sub>load</sub>

Another important characteristic is the dependence of the weight force of the induction levitation winding (ILW)  $P_B$  on the current  $I_1$  (fig.4) for various values of the stroke  $x_M$ , according to which the weight force increases with increasing current, and with an increase in the stroke  $x_M$  it falls. For supplying electroplating baths and test benches, for automated control of calibration parameters of measuring instruments, etc. precision current stabilizers are used as a power source (Kurniawan et al., 2023) (Manishe et al., 2021).



**Figure 4.** Dependence of the weight force  $P_{B}$  on the current  $I_{1}$ 

Analytical expressions for a number of basic dependencies have been obtained that characterize the ability of current stabilizers with a levitation winding to satisfy their functions as an element of the general circuit of the device: dependence of the voltage increment at the excitation winding terminals on mains voltage fluctuations; dependence of the maximum stroke of the LW on the voltage increments (supply winding) of the EW; dependence of the course of the LW, input, output and overall powers on the initial data for design; dependence of the load current on the ambient temperature and the temperature rise of the windings; dependence of the main dimensions on power, electromagnetic load and winding overheating temperature; dependence of the increment of induction in the core on fluctuations in the mains voltage, load power and rated power of the stabilizer (Gieras et al., 2011) (Nisworo et al., 2022). The main criteria for designing a current stabilizer are: allowable overheating  $\tau$ , allowable voltage drop U<sub>1</sub>, allowable stroke x<sub>m</sub> of the levitation winding, allowable ratio of the height of the windings (or magnetic circuit) to their thickness (or width of the magnetic circuit) and the accuracy of current stabilization for a given range of changes in mains voltage  $\Delta U = U_{max} - U_{min}$ . As a generalized model, the design of a stabilizer with maximum symmetry and homogeneity of the magnetic system is considered (Boldea, 2015) (Kurniawan et al., 2022).

For this purpose, a mathematical model has been developed that allows, based on the solution of the equations of the levitation coordinate, mechanical forces, winding MMF and winding excess temperature, to establish the most important analytical relationships between the initial design data and the main parameters of the stabilizer. The developed technique was used for a three-limit stabilizer for stands and galvanic baths as an adjustable source of stabilized current at 7,8,9 A. The current stabilization error of the prototype current stabilizer was 0.1% when the mains voltage fluctuated in the range  $(160 \div 250)$  V.

#### Conclusions

The current states of power sources for galvanic baths are analyzed. The features of operation and varieties of electroplating baths, their fields of application are considered, the requirements for modeling the optimal temperature control of electroplating baths are established. Analytical expressions are obtained for a number of basic dependencies that characterize the ability of current stabilizers with a levitation winding. A calculation of a three-section AC stabilizer for powering galvanic baths is made, a method for calculating a current stabilizer for testing equipment and galvanic baths is given, a computer study of the current stabilizer coupling equation using the program (EXEL) is carried out.

### References

- Abdullayev, Y., Kerimzade, G. S., & Mamedova, G. V. (2009). Converter predesign efforts. *The 5th International Conference on Technical and Physical Problems of Power Engineering (ICTPE-2009)*, 61–64.
- Abdullayev, Y. R., Kerimzade, G. S., Mamedova, G. V, & Piriyeva, N. M. (2015). Design of electric devices with induction levitation elements. *Russian Electrical Engineering*, 86, 252–257.
- Boldea, I. (2015). Synchronous generators. CRC press.
- Esbensen, K. H., Guyot, D., Westad, F., & Houmoller, L. P. (2002). *Multivariate data analysis: in practice: an introduction to multivariate data analysis and experimental design*. Multivariate Data Analysis.
- G.S., K. (2022). Analysis of the methodology for calculation current stabilizer with induction levitation". *İJ TPE Journal*, *№* 4.pp.170.
- G.S, K. (2022a). Analytical connections of the parameters and sizes of the presizion stabilizer of alternating current using the effect of inducial levitation. *İJ TPE Journal*, № 3..pp.17.

- G.S, K. (2022b). Characteristics of the current stabilizer control system. International Scientific and Technical Conference, pp.43-48.
- G.S, K. (2022c). Features of the current stabilizer control system. 6th International Artificial Intelligence Data Processing Sympozium, pp.194-199.
- Gieras, J. F. (2008). Advancements in electric machines. Springer Science \& Business Media.
- Gieras, J. F., Mews, J., & Splawski, P. (2011). Analytical calculation of electrodynamic levitation forces in a specialpurpose linear induction motor. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 48(1), 106–116.
- Hasibuan, A., & others. (2011a). Applying genetic algorithm on power system stabilizer for stabilization of power system. Proceedings of The Annual International Conference, Syiah Kuala University-Life Sciences \& Engineering Chapter, 1(2).
- Hasibuan, A., & others. (2011b). Early detection of rotor-bar faults of three-phase induction motor using motor current signature analysis method. *Proceedings of The Annual International Conference, Syiah Kuala University-Life Sciences* \& Engineering Chapter, 1(2).
- Kerimzade G.S., M. M. . (2020). Development of a current stabilizer control system. In *Scientific and technical journal* "Problems of Energy."
- Kurniawan, R., Daud, M., & Hasibuan, A. (2023). Study of Power Flow and Harmonics when Integrating Photovoltaic into Microgrid. MOTIVECTION: Journal of Mechanical, Electrical and Industrial Engineering, 5(1), 33–46.
- Kurniawan, R., Daud, M., & Hasibuan, A. (2022). Impact of Intermittent Renewable Energy Generations Penetration on Harmonics in Microgrid Distribution Networks. 2022 6th International Conference on Electrical, Telecommunication and Computer Engineering (ELTICOM), 30–37.
- Manishe, M. I., Hasibuan, A., & Putri, R. (2021). Perancangan Radial Flux Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator Sebagai Pembangkit Listrik Tenaga Angin Menggunakan Finite Element Method (FEM). Vol, 10, 42–48.
- Nisworo, S., Hasibuan, A., & Daud, M. (2022). Evaluasi Kondisi Belitan Generator Transformers (GT) Dengan Sweep Frequency Response Analysis. *RELE (Rekayasa Elektrikal Dan Energi): Jurnal Teknik Elektro*, 5(1), 51–56.
- Simo Fotso, A., Kenné, G., & Douanla, R. M. (2019). A simple flexible and robust control strategy for wind energy conversion systems connected to a utility grid. *Journal of Control Science and Engineering*, 2019.
- Spooner, E., & Williamson, A. C. (1996). Direct coupled, permanent magnet generators for wind turbine applications. *IEE Proceedings-Electric Power Applications*, 143(1), 1–8.
- Syafrudin, S., & Hasibuan, A. (n.d.). Early Detection of Rotor-bar Faults of Three-phase Induction Motor Using Motor Current Signature Analysis Method. *1st Syiah Kuala University Annual International Conference 2011*.
- Xue, X., Zhang, Z., Wu, B., He, S., Wang, Q., Zhang, W., Bi, R., Cui, J., Zheng, Y., & Xue, C. (2021). Coil-levitated hybrid generator for mechanical energy harvesting and wireless temperature and vibration monitoring. *Science China Technological Sciences*, 64(6), 1325–1334.